

"BEST STATED" BY MR CRAIG

Approve RM-10620

When I upgraded from General Class to Advanced back in the 1970's the only difference in the testing requirements between the Extra and Advanced Class testing was the requirement for 20 wpm code. The written test was the same. Now that the 20 wpm code is no longer required, and the FCC has determined to eliminate the Advanced category of license, I see no difficulty in upgrading the Advance Class license to Extra.

The FCC has stated the technical differences between Advanced Class and Extra Class is "minimal", in my case 13wpm vs. 20 wpm code proficiency in 1970.

I feel the FCC should grandfather all advanced licensees and eliminate the Advance class of license and the burden of dealing with it. Why maintain a license grade that is no longer issued?

+ 86,000 TRAPPED "ADVANCED CLASS"
MORE 16,000 TRAPPED "NOVICE CLASS"

SEE SUPPORT ISSUES ATTACHED

CURRENT ELEMENT OF EXAM

3 → 3(A) FUTURE
3 → 3(B) FUTURE

4 → 4(A) FUTURE
4 → 4(B) FUTURE

ACT APP?

DAL ✓

JIM

To:

hq@arrl.org

GENTLEMEN:

IN RESPONSE TO K8AD REQUEST TO THE FCC. RM-10620. I TRIED TO FIND INFORMATION ON IT ON THE FCC SITE. I WAS NOT ABLE TO FIND OUT ANYTHING ABOUT IT OR HOW TO COMMENT ON IT. WOULD LIKE YOUR HELP.

MR REICH HAS A VALID REQUEST, EXCEPT FOR ONE POINT. IF WE ARE GOING TO ADVANCE OPERATOR STATUS FOR 20 YEARS SERVICE, WHY NOT INCLUDE THOSE WHO YOU LEFT BEHIND IN THE MID 60'S. THERE ARE MANY OF US OUT HERE THAT STILL REFUSE TO BEND TO INCENTIVE LICENSE. I LOST MANY PRIVILEGES WHEN THIS TOOK PLACE. I HAVE TALKED TO OTHER HAMS WHO ARE FROM THAT TIME AND THEY ALSO REFUSE TO BEND AND START OVER TAKING TEST TO REGAIN WHAT WE ALREADY HAD. NOW WOULD BE A GOOD TIME TO CORRECT THE MISTAKE THAT WAS MADE BACK THEN AND GRANDFATHER THOSE WHO HELD GENERAL CLASS TICKETS TO EXTRA. IF 20 YEARS OF SERVICE IS GOOD FOR ONE CLASS OF OPERATORS, WE WHO HAVE OVER 35 YEARS OR MORE SHOULD GAIN BACK WHAT WE LOST. IT IS PAST TIME TO CORRECT THIS MISTAKE THE ARRL MADE.

73

JIM FOOTE K8KSN
3015 LARSON ROAD SE
UHRICHSVILLE, OHIO
44683

K8KSN @ ADELPHIA.NET

K8KSN
3015 LARSON RD
44683



K8AD DALE REICH
141 N CENTER ST STRT 3
Seville, OHIO
44273-9504

44273-9504



**Message 21 of 23**

From: Budcopley@aol.com
To: ak437@rover.ascpl.lib.oh.us
Date: Sat, 28 Dec 2002 22:11:36 EST
Subject: RM-10620

Dear Dale,

I applaud your efforts regarding RM-10620. I have not been able to get into the FCC website to read the petition nor the comments to date so this question may not be appropriate.

I've held a General class license since 1955 and have simply refused to upgrade because I feel that the full privileges that I had as a General were taken away from me (and other General holders) unfairly. I was wondering why you didn't include the General class in your petition for automatic upgrade?

Best regards and 73, Dave Copley K0CSD Encinitas CA

MailMan Script Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation
Interface Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation and Hypnopædia Studios.
Microcompanies With Attitude



**Message 15 of 20**

From: W2RRT@aol.com
To: ak437@rover.ascpl.lib.oh.us
Date: Tue, 31 Dec 2002 19:41:48 EST
Subject: (no subject)

Hi Dale,

I have just read your petition RM-10620 and I think it's great on your request and feelings on that particular subject. I don't know what the FCC feelings is going to be.

But what ever it is I thank you for trying. I have been a "HAM" for 56 years and cannot believe they (FCC) would do such a change with NO REWARDS for the extra license. All they did was degrade the licensing system by demoting the class "A" status to a lower level with NO explanation. I WILL NEVER TAKE THE EXTRA CLASS TEST. Those are my personal feelings. In any event, thaks again for your interest and petition.

sincerly and best 73's

Nick Harris W2RRT
W2RRT@aol.com

MailMan Script Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation
Interface Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation and Hypnopaedia Studios.
Microcompanies With Attitude





Message 24 of 29

From: N3KDB@aol.com
To: ak437@rover.ascpl.lib.oh.us
Date: Wed, 8 Jan 2003 15:49:23 EST
Subject: what are you thinking?????

I think you probably have good intentions Dale, but they have loosened restrictions too far already! Dale I was licensed at the age of 13 in 1991. I thought things were so tough back then, but it gave me sense of accomplishment. These people stuck at Novice and Advanced Know what they need to do to upgrade, and if they want it bad enough they will do it. What next drop the code all together. I **DONT THINK SO.**

Maybe we should make a petition to put things back the way they were. Everyone had a chance to get into ham radio when they came out with the codeless tech license. Thank-you for reading this 73 and GOOD LUCK de N3KDB Don

Mailman Script Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation
Interface Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation and Hypnoparade Studios.
Microcompanies With Attitude



ALL E MAIL
SENT TO COMMISSION
OPPOSE
EVEN REC'D HERE
THANK
DALE

Homer D. Reich
Charlene E. Reich
141 Center Street
Seville, Ohio 44273

Confirmed

JAN 23 2003


Distribution Center

F.C.C.

ATTN: MICHAEL K. POWELL - Commission
CHAIRMAN

445 - 12TH ST -
WASHINGTON

D.C. 20554



"BEST STATED"

MR. CRAIG

Approve RM-10620

When I upgraded from General Class to Advanced back in the 1970's the only difference in the testing requirements between the Extra and Advanced Class testing was the requirement for 20 wpm code. The written test was the same. Now that the 20 wpm code is no longer required, and the FCC has determined to eliminate the Advanced category of license, I see no difficulty in upgrading the Advance Class license to Extra.


The FCC has stated the technical differences between Advanced Class and Extra Class is "minimal", in my case 13wpm vs. 20 wpm code proficiency in 1970.

I feel the FCC should grandfather all advanced licensees and eliminate the Advance class of license and the burden of dealing with it. Why maintain a license grade that is no longer issued?

+ 86,000 TRAPPED "ADVANCED CLASS"

MORE + 16,000 TRAPPED "NOVICE CLASS"

CURRENT ELEMENTS OF EXAM



JIM

To: hq@arrl.org

GENTLEMEN:

IN RESPONSE TO K8AD REQUEST TO THE FCC. RM-10620. I TRIED TO FIND INFORMATION ON IT ON THE FCC SITE. I WAS NOT ABLE TO FIND OUT ANYTHING ABOUT IT OR HOW TO COMMENT ON IT. WOULD LIKE YOUR HELP.

MR REICH HAS A VALID REQUEST, EXCEPT FOR ONE POINT. IF WE ARE GOING TO ADVANCE OPERATOR STATUS FOR 20 YEARS SERVICE, WHY NOT INCLUDE THOSE WHO YOU LEFT BEHIND IN THE MID 60'S. THERE ARE MANY OF US OUT HERE THAT SILL REFUSE TO BEND TO INCENTIVE LICENSE. I LOST MANY PRIVLAGES WHEN THIS TOOK PLACE. I HAVE TALKED TO OTHER HAMS WHO ARE FROM THAT TIME AND THEY ALSO REFUSE TO BEND AND START OVER TAKING TEST TO REGAIN WHAT WE ALREADY HAD. NOW WOULD BE A GOOD TIME TO CORRECT THE MISTAKE THAT WAS MADE BACK THEN AND GRANDFATHER THOSE WHO HELD GENERAL CLASS TICKETS TO EXTRA. IF 20 YEARS OF SERVICE IS GOOD FOR ONE CLASS OF OPERATORS, WE WHO HAVE OVER 35 YEARS OR MORE SHOULD GAIN BACK WHAT WE LOST. IT IS PAST TIME TO CORRECT THIS MISTAKE THE ARRL MADE.

73

JIM FOOTE K8KSN
3015 LARSON ROAD SE
UHRICHSVILLE, OHIO
44683

K8KSN @ ADELPHIA.NET

K8KSN
3015 LARSON RD
44683



K8AD DALE REICH
141 N Center ST STRT 3
Seville, OH 10
44273-9504

44273-9504



**Message 21 of 23**

From: Budcopley@aol.com
To: ak437@rover.ascpl.lib.oh.us
Date: Sat, 28 Dec 2002 22:11:36 EST
Subject: RM-10620

Dear Dale,

I applaud your efforts regarding RM-10620. I have not been able to get into the FCC website to read the petition nor the comments to date so this question may not be appropriate.

I've held a General class license since 1955 and have simply refused to upgrade because I feel that the full privileges that I had as a General were taken away from me (and other General holders) unfairly. I was wondering why you didn't include the General class in your petition for automatic upgrade?

Best regards and 73, Dave Copley KØCSD Encinitas CA

MailMan Script Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation
Interface Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation and Hypnopæstia Studios.
Microcompanies With Attitude



**Message 15 of 20**

From: W2RRT@aol.com
To: ak437@rover.ascpl.lib.oh.us
Date: Tue, 31 Dec 2002 19:41:48 EST
Subject: (no subject)

Hi Dale,

I have just read your petition RM-10620 and I think it's great on your request and feelings on that particular subject. I don't know what the FCC feelings is going to be.

But what ever it is I thank you for trying. I have been a "HAM" for 56 years and cannot believe they (FCC) would do such a change with NO REWARDS for the extra license. All they did was degrade the licensing system by demoting the class "A" status to a lower level with NO explanation. I WILL NEVER TAKE THE EXTRA CLASS TEST. Those are my personal feelings. In any event, thaks again for your interest and petition.

sincerly and best 73's

Nick Harris W2RRT
W2RRT@aol.com

MailMan Script Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation
Interface Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation and Hypnopaedia Studios.
Microcompanies With Attitude





Message 24 of 29

From: N3KDB@aol.com
To: ak437@rover.ascpl.lib.oh.us
Date: Wed, 8 Jan 2003 15:49:23 EST
Subject: what are you thinking?????

I think you probably have good intentions Dale, but they have loosened restrictions too far already! Dale I was licensed at the age of 13 in 1991. I thought things were so tough back then, but it gave me sense of accomplishment. These people stuck at Novice and Advanced Know what they need to do to upgrade, and if they want it bad enough they will do it. What next drop the code all together. **I DONT THINK SO.**

Maybe we should make a petition to put things back the way they were. Everyone had a chance to get into ham radio when they came out with the codeless tech license. Thank-you for reading this 73 and GOOD LUCK de N3KDB Don

MaulMan Script Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation
Interface Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation and Hypermedia Studios.
Microcompanies With Attitude



ALL E MAIL
SENT TO COMMISS
EVEN OPPOSED
DALE

Horner D. Reichen
Charlotte E. Reichen
141 Center Street
Seville, Ohio 43189

F.C.C.

100

ATTN KEVIN J. MARTIN


Commissioner

Confirmed


JAN 23 2003

Distribution Center

445 - 127th ST -
WASHINGTON D



20554



"BEST STARTED"

MR. CRAIG

Approve RM-10620


When I upgraded from General Class to Advanced back in the 1970's the only difference in the testing requirements between the Extra and Advanced Class testing was the requirement for 20 wpm code. The written test was the same. Now that the 20 wpm code is no longer required, and the FCC has determined to eliminate the Advanced category of license, I see no difficulty in upgrading the Advance Class license to Extra.

The FCC has stated the technical differences between Advanced Class and Extra Class is "minimal", in my case 13wpm vs. 20 wpm code proficiency in 1970.

I feel the FCC should grandfather all advanced licensees and eliminate the Advance class of license and the burden of dealing with it. Why maintain a license grade that is no longer issued?

+ 86,000 TRAPPED "ADVANCED CLASS"
MORE + 16,000 TRAPPED "NOVICE CLASS"

SEE SUPPORT ISSUES ATTACHED
CURRENT ELEMENT OF EXAMS



ALT ?
APP.

DAL

JIM

To:

hq@arrl.org

GENTLEMEN:

IN RESPONSE TO K8AD REQUEST TO THE FCC. RM-10620. I TRIED TO FIND INFORMATION ON IT ON THE FCC SITE. I WAS NOT ABLE TO FIND OUT ANYTHING ABOUT IT OR HOW TO COMMENT ON IT. WOULD LIKE YOUR HELP.

MR REICH HAS A VALID REQUEST, EXCEPT FOR ONE POINT. IF WE ARE GOING TO ADVANCE OPERATOR STATUS FOR 20 YEARS SERVICE, WHY NOT INCLUDE THOSE WHO YOU LEFT BEHIND IN THE MID 60'S. THERE ARE MANY OF US OUT HERE THAT STILL REFUSE TO BEND TO INCENTIVE LICENSE. I LOST MANY PRIVILEGES WHEN THIS TOOK PLACE. I HAVE TALKED TO OTHER HAMS WHO ARE FROM THAT TIME AND THEY ALSO REFUSE TO BEND AND START OVER TAKING TEST TO REGAIN WHAT WE ALREADY HAD. NOW WOULD BE A GOOD TIME TO CORRECT THE MISTAKE THAT WAS MADE BACK THEN AND GRANDFATHER THOSE WHO HELD GENERAL CLASS TICKETS TO EXTRA. IF 20 YEARS OF SERVICE IS GOOD FOR ONE CLASS OF OPERATORS, WE WHO HAVE OVER 35 YEARS OR MORE SHOULD GAIN BACK WHAT WE LOST. IT IS PAST TIME TO CORRECT THIS MISTAKE THE ARRL MADE.

73

JIM FOOTE K8KSN
3015 LARSON ROAD SE
UNRICHSVILLE, OHIO
44683


K8KSN @ ADELPHIA.NET

K8KSN
3015 LARSON RD
44683



K8AD DALE REICH
141 N CENTER ST STRT 3
Seville, OH 10
44273-9504

44273-9504



**Message 21 of 23**

From: Budcopley@aol.com
To: ak437@rover.ascpl.lib.oh.us
Date: Sat, 28 Dec 2002 22:11:36 EST
Subject: RM-10620

Dear Dale,

I applaud your efforts regarding RM-10620. I have not been able to get into the FCC website to read the petition nor the comments to date so this question may not be appropriate.

I've held a General class license since 1955 and have simply refused to upgrade because I feel that the full privileges that I had as a General were taken away from me (and other General holders) unfairly. I was wondering why you didn't include the General class in your petition for automatic upgrade?

Best regards and 73, Dave Copley K0CSD Encinitas CA

MailMan Script Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation
Interface Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation and Hypnopædia Studios.
Microcompanies With Attitude



**Message 15 of 20**

From: W2RRT@aol.com
To: ak437@rover.ascpl.lib.oh.us
Date: Tue, 31 Dec 2002 19:41:48 EST
Subject: (no subject)

Hi Dale,

I have just read your petition RM-10620 and I think it's great on your request and feelings on that particular subject. I don't know what the FCC feelings is going to be.

But what ever it is I thank you for trying. I have been a "HAM" for 56 years and cannot believe they (FCC) would do such a change with NO REWARDS for the extra license. All they did was degrade the licensing system by demoting the class "A" status to a lower level with NO explanation. I WILL NEVER TAKE THE EXTRA CLASS TEST. These are my personal feelings. In any event, thaks again for your interest and petition.

sincerly and best 73's

Nick Harris W2RRT
W2RRT@aol.com

MailMan Script Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation
Interface Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation and Hypnopædia Studios.
Microcompanies With Attitude





Message 24 of 29

From: N3KDB@aol.com
To: ak437@rover.ascpl.lib.oh.us
Date: Wed, 8 Jan 2003 15:49:23 EST
Subject: what are you thinking?????

I think you probably have good intentions Dale, but they have loosened restrictions too far already! Dale I was licensed at the age of 13 in 1991. I thought things were so tough back then, but it gave me sense of accomplishment. These people stuck at Novice and Advanced Know what they need to do to upgrade, and if they want it bad enough they will do it. What next drop the code all together. **I DONT THINK SO.**

Maybe we should make a petition to put things back the way they were. Everyone had a chance to get into ham radio when they came out with the codeless tech license. Thank-you for reading this 73 and GOOD LUCK de N3KDB Don

MailMan Script Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation
Interface Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation and Hynopaeia Studios.
Microcompanies With Attitude



ALL EMAIL
SENT
EVEN
TO COMMISS
OPPOSED
DALE

Horner D. Reich
Charlene E. Reich
141 Center Street
Seville, Ohio 44273

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
JAN X 2 2003
FCC-MAILROOM


FCC

ATTN: MICHAEL J. COPPS
COMMISSIONER

445 - 12TH ST - SW

WASHINGTON DC

DC 20554



CONFIDENTIAL
JAN 23 2003
Distribution Center



"BEST STATED" BY MR CRAIG

Approve RM-10620


When I upgraded from General Class to Advanced back in the 1970's the only difference in the testing requirements between the Extra and Advanced Class testing was the requirement for 20 wpm code. The written test was the same. Now that the 20 wpm code is no longer required, and the FCC has determined to eliminate the Advanced category of license, I see no difficulty in upgrading the Advance Class license to Extra.

The FCC has stated the technical differences between Advanced Class and Extra Class is "minimal", in my case 13wpm vs. 20 wpm code proficiency in 1970.

I feel the FCC should grandfather all advanced licensees and eliminate the Advance class of license and the burden of dealing with it. Why maintain a license grade that is no longer issued?

+ 86,000 TRAPPED "ADVANCED CLASS"
+ 16,000 TRAPPED "NOVICE CLASS"

SEE SUPPORT ISSUES ATTACHED!
CURRENT ELEMENT OF EXAM'S



ALT APP?

DATE

JIM

To: hq@arrl.org

GENTLEMEN:

IN RESPONSE TO K8AD REQUEST TO THE FCC. RM-10620. I TRIED TO FIND INFORMATION ON IT ON THE FCC SITE. I WAS NOT ABLE TO FIND OUT ANYTHING ABOUT IT OR HOW TO COMMENT ON IT. WOULD LIKE YOUR HELP.

MR REICH HAS A VALID REQUEST, EXCEPT FOR ONE POINT. IF WE ARE GOING TO ADVANCE OPERATOR STATUS FOR 20 YEARS SERVICE, WHY NOT INCLUDE THOSE WHO YOU LEFT BEHIND IN THE MID 60'S. THERE ARE MANY OF US OUT HERE THAT STILL REFUSE TO BEND TO INCENTIVE LICENSE. I LOST MANY PRIVILEGES WHEN THIS TOOK PLACE. I HAVE TALKED TO OTHER HAMS WHO ARE FROM THAT TIME AND THEY ALSO REFUSE TO BEND AND START OVER TAKING TEST TO REGAIN WHAT WE ALREADY HAD. NOW WOULD BE A GOOD TIME TO CORRECT THE MISTAKE THAT WAS MADE BACK THEN AND GRANDFATHER THOSE WHO HELD GENERAL CLASS TICKETS TO EXTRA. IF 20 YEARS OF SERVICE IS GOOD FOR ONE CLASS OF OPERATORS, WE WHO HAVE OVER 35 YEARS OR MORE SHOULD GAIN BACK WHAT WE LOST. IT IS PAST TIME TO CORRECT THIS MISTAKE THE ARRL MADE.

73

JIM FOOTE K8KSN
3015 LARSON ROAD SE
UHRICHVILLE, OHIO
44683


K8KSN @ ADELPHIA.NET

K8KSN
3015 LARSON RD
44683



K8AD DALE REICH
141 N Center ST STRT 3
Seville, OHIO
44273-9504

44273-9504



**Message 21 of 23**

From: Budcopley@aol.com
To: ak437@rover.ascpl.lib.oh.us
Date: Sat, 28 Dec 2002 22:11:36 EST
Subject: RM-10620

Dear Dale,

I applaud your efforts regarding RM-10620. I have not been able to get into the FCC website to read the petition nor the comments to date so this question may not be appropriate.

I've held a General class license since 1955 and have simply refused to upgrade because I feel that the full privileges that I had as a General were taken away from me (and other General holders) unfairly. I was wondering why you didn't include the General class in your petition for automatic upgrade?

Best regards and 73, Dave Copley K0CSD Encinitas CA

MailMan Script Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation
Interface Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation and Hypnopædia Studios.
Microcompanies With Attitude



**Message 15 of 20**

From: W2RRT@aol.com
To: ak437@rover.ascpl.lib.oh.us
Date: Tue, 31 Dec 2002 19:41:48 EST
Subject: (no subject)

Hi Dale,

I have just read your petition RM-10620 and I think it's great on your request and feelings on that particular subject. I don't know what the FCC feelings is going to be. But what ever it is I thank you for trying. I have been a "HAM" for 56 years and cannot believe they (FCC) would do such a change with NO REWARDS for the extra license. All they did was degrade the licensing system by demoting the class "A" status to a lower level with NO explanation. I WILL NEVER TAKE THE EXTRA CLASS TEST. Those are my personal feelings. In any event, thaks again for your interest and petition.
sincerly and best 73's
Nick Harris W2RRT
W2RRT@aol.com

MailMan Script Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation
Interface Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation and Hypnopædia Studios.
Microcompanies With Attitude





Message 24 of 29

From: N3KDB@aol.com
To: ak437@rover.ascpl.lib.oh.us
Date: Wed, 8 Jan 2003 15:49:23 EST
Subject: what are you thinking?????

I think you probably have good intentions Dale, but they have loosened restrictions too far already! Dale I was licensed at the age of 13 in 1991. I thought things were so tough back then, but it gave me sense of accomplishment. These people stuck at Novice and Advanced Know what they need to do to upgrade, and if they want it bad enough they will do it. What next drop the code all together. **I DONT THINK SO.**

Maybe we should make a petition to put things back the way they were. Everyone had a chance to get into ham radio when they came out with the codeless tech license. Thank-you for reading this 73 and GOOD LUCK de N3KDB Don

MailMan Script Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation
Interface Copyright © 1997 - 1999 Endymion Corporation and Hypnopædia Studios.
Microcompanies With Altitude



ALL EMAIL
SENT TO
COMMISSION
EVEN OPPOSE
DALE

Homer D. Reich
Charlene E. Reich
141 Center Street
Seville, Ohio 44273

FCC

ATTN: KATHLEEN

Confirmed


JAN 28 2000

Distribution Center

445-12TH ST. - SW

WASHINGTON, DC

20554



RECEIVED & INSPECTED

JAN 28 2000

MAILED BY NATHY
FCC-MAIL ROOM MISSISSAUGA

